



In search of conditions for Gd-TiO₂ activation by light irradiation in photodynamic treatment of pancreatic cancer cells A. Abu el Rub ¹, M. D. Nešić ¹, J. Žakula ², V. Ralić ¹, M. Petković ¹, I. Popović ¹, M. Matijević ¹, M. Radoičić³, M. Stepić¹

¹ Center for Light-Based Research and Technologies COHERENCE, Department of Atomic Physics, Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences - National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

² Department of molecular biology and endocrinology, Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences - National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

³ Department of radiation chemistry and physics, Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences - National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

e-mail: anamarija.abuelrub@vin.bg.ac.rs

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer cells express high resistance to commonly used chemotherapies and radiotherapy^[1]. The emergence of new therapeutic solutions to fight this issue is becoming essential, one of them being photodynamic therapy (PDT)^[2]. By the use of photosensitizing nanoparticles and light sources, PDT focuses on tumor tissue and its size reduction by the creation of reactive oxygen species. We have synthesized Gadolinium-doped Titanium-dioxide

nanoparticles (Gd-TiO₂ NPs) and tested their activation with visible light and effects on two pancreatic cancer cell lines, PANC-1 and MIA PaCa-2.

Methods

- NPs synthesis and characterization as described in our previous work ^[3].
- \odot UV-VIS analysis TiO₂ band-gap reduction possible excitation with visible blue light
- PANC-1 and MIA PaCa-2 cells were seeded in 96 well plates, treated with different concentrations of NPs, and irradiated with a visible light source.
- Irradiation times and intensities: from 10 to 30 minutes, from 36µW to 1.3 mW
- SRB-assays cell viability 48h after treatment



calculations

good

plot

indicated a band-gap of 2.7 eV,

implying a possibility to excite the

showed

 \bigcirc The cytotoxicity assays prior to

compatibility for concentration up

to 2 mg/mL in both cell lines. [1]

NPs with visible blue light.

Tauc

irradiation



Figure 1: Experimental set-up: 1) TEM image of Gd-TiO2 NPs, 2) NPs treatment of PANC-1 and MIA PaCa-2 cells, 3) Blue light irradiation of cells

• UV-VIS spectra showed an increased absorbance in the visible light range, suggesting that the doping with Gd lead to a reduced band gap of Gd-TiO₂ NPs.

• The



Figure 2: UV-VIS spectrum of Gd-TiO₂ NPs.

Irradiation tests

• No NP treatment, 3 different irradiation powers and durations (Figure 3) \rightarrow In MIA PaCa-2 cells, the cell viability increased with irradiation, compared to control.





4: Low power irradiation, 4 different Figure concentration of NP treatment.

 \bigcirc NP-treated, concentrations, 3 irradiation power $75\mu W \rightarrow In$ both cell lines, the NP-treated and irradiated cells expressed higher viability, contrary to what was expected. Cytotoxicity of NP/light treatment of MIA PaCa-2 is given in

• NP-treated, 3 concentration, lowest irradiation power of 36µW for 10 minutes (Figure 4) \rightarrow Significant decrease in viability observed only for the highest concentration of 2mg/mL, comparing to nonirradiated, compared to NP-treated control.





Figure 3: Irradiation with different light power, no NPs

Conclusions

By using two different light sources, varying irradiation power and duration, we attempted to activate the Gd-TiO₂ NPs and induce ROS formation that would influence the viability of the two pancreatic cell lines. However, cell viability was not consistently decreased for treated and irradiated cells, while in some cases the viability increased. A possible explanation might lie in the limited absorbance of NPs in visible light range. Doping the NPs with additional photosensitizers might lead to a better activation. Direct measurements of ROS production can be used as further assessment of the NP activation.



Figure 5: MIA PaCa-2, 75µW irradiation of 3 different concentrations of NP treatment.

- NP-treated, different light source, optical fiber and higher radiation power (Figure 6)
 - \rightarrow Treatment did not induce a significant change in viability of PANC-1 cells.

Figure 6: PANC-1, 1 mW and 1.3 mW irradiation of *1mg/mL NP-treated cells.*

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia (contract No. 451-03-47/2023-01/200017).

Citations

[1] Quiñonero F, Mesas C, Doello K, Cabeza L, Perazzoli G, Jimenez-Luna C, Rama AR, Melguizo C, Prados J. The challenge of drug resistance in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a current overview. Cancer Biol Med. 2019 Nov;16(4):688-699. doi: 10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2019.0252.

[2] Karimnia V, Slack FJ, Celli JP. Photodynamic Therapy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Cancers (Basel). 2021 Aug 28;13(17):4354. doi: 10.3390/cancers13174354.

[3] A. Abu el Rub, M. Nešić et al. SR-FTIR Biomolecular Assessment in PANC-1 and MIA PaCa-2 Pancreatic Cancer Cells After Treatment with Gd-TiO2 Nanoparticles, https://ssrn.com/abstract=4496199 (preprint).